

EXHIBIT C

DONOVAN SEARLES, LLC

1845 Walnut Street, Suite 1100
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone 215-732-6067
Fax 215-732-8060
www.donovansearles.com

Michael D. Donovan, Esquire
mdonovan@donovansearles.com

David A. Searles, Esquire
dsearles@donovansearles.com

DONOVAN SEARLES, LLC, located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, is a law firm dedicated to providing first class litigation services to investors, consumers and small businesses. The firm's principals have substantial trial and appellate court experience prosecuting complex commercial and consumer cases. The members of the firm have appeared or argued before the United States Supreme Court, various federal and state appellate courts, the state Supreme Courts of New Jersey and Pennsylvania and federal and state trial courts throughout the country. The firm's nationwide practice focuses on class actions, shareholders' rights, consumer and commercial litigation as well as employment-related disputes. The firm strives to provide consumers, investors and small businesses with the type of sophisticated, in-depth representation that is ordinarily available only to the largest corporate clients of money-center law firms.

MICHAEL D. DONOVAN, a founding member of the firm, is admitted to practice before the Supreme Court of the United States, the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second, Third, Eighth, Ninth and Tenth Circuits, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York as well as the state courts of Pennsylvania and New York and the courts of Washington, D.C. He is a graduate of Vermont Law School (J.D. cum laude 1984) and Syracuse University (A.B. 1981). He was the Head Notes Editor and a staff member of the VERMONT LAW REVIEW from 1982 through 1984. While on the LAW REVIEW, he authored Note, Zoning Variance Administration in Vermont, 8 VT. L. REV. 370 (1984). Following graduation from law school, Mr. Donovan was an attorney with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C., where he prosecuted numerous securities cases and enforcement matters, including injunctive and disciplinary actions against public companies, broker/dealers and accounting firms. Mr. Donovan has authored "Rigorous Analysis of the Class Action Burden of Proof," ABA Newsletter of the Class Action and Derivative Suits Committee, Spring 2007, and co-authored Preserving Judicial Recourse for Consumers: How to Combat

Overreaching Arbitration Clauses, 10 LOYOLA CONSUMER L. REV. 269 (1998); "The Overlooked Victims of the Thrift Crisis," Miami Review, Feb. 13, 1990; and "Conspiracy of Silence: Why S&L Regulators Can't Always Be Trusted," Legal Times, Feb. 5, 1990.

Mr. Donovan has served as co-lead counsel in the following securities class actions: In re Sunterra Corp. Securities Litigation, No. 6:00-cv-79-Orl-28B (M.D. Fla. 2005) (settled for \$5,450,000); In re: Worldport Communications, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 1-99-CV-1817-CC (N.D. Ga. 2001) (settled for \$5,100,000); Lines v. Marble Financial Corp., Nos. 90-23 and 90-100 (D. Vt. 1991)(settled for \$2 million together with substantial changes to the company's loan loss reserve procedures); Jones v. Amdura Corp., No. 90-F-167 (D. Colo. 1991) (action against directors settled for \$4,962,500 and against company after bankruptcy for \$1.2 million); In re Columbia Shareholders Litigation (Del. Ch. 1991)(merger case settled for \$2 per share increase in amount paid to shareholders); Rosen v. Fidelity Investments, [1995-1996] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. ¶ 98,949 (E.D. Pa. Nov. 28, 1995) (opinion certifying class of mutual fund purchasers); Selis v. KTI, Inc., No. 2:00 CV 1478 (JCL) (D.N.J. 2000) (settled for \$3.8 million). In addition, Mr. Donovan has had a substantial role in the prosecution of the following cases, among others: In re Trustcorp Securities Litigation, No. 3:89-CV-7139 (N.D. Ohio 1990) (settled for \$5,600,000); Moskowitz v. Lopp, 128 F.R.D. 624 (E.D. Pa. 1989) (opinion certifying class of stock and option purchasers in fraud on the market and insider trading case); In re Hercules Corporation Securities Litigation, No. 90-442 (D. Del. 1992) (settled for \$17.25 million).

In the area of consumer justice, Mr. Donovan has argued before the Supreme Court of the United States in Smiley v. Citibank (South Dakota), N.A., No. 95-860, 116 S. Ct. 806 (argued Apr. 24, 1996) and obtained favorable appellate rulings from the New Jersey Supreme Court in Sherman v. Citibank (South Dakota), N.A., 668 A.2d 1036 (N.J. 1995) and Hunter v. Greenwood Trust Co., 668 A.2d 1067 (N.J. 1995) and from the Pennsylvania Superior Court in In re Citibank Credit Card Litigation, 653 A.2d 39 (Pa. Super. 1995) and Gadon v. Chase Manhattan Bank, N.A., 653 A.2d 43 (Pa. Super. 1995). Recently, Mr. Donovan obtained a landmark Truth in Lending Act decision from the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit in Rossman v. Fleet Bank (R.I.), N.A., 280 F.3d 384 (3d Cir. 2002), holding that a bank may not change a credit card promise of no annual fee. He also obtained landmark decisions from the Appellate Division of the New Jersey Superior Court and the New Jersey Supreme Court in Lemelledo v. Beneficial Finance Co., 674 A.2d 582 (N.J. App. Div. 1996), aff'd, 150 N.J. 255, 696 A.2d 546 (N.J. 1997), concerning loan and insurance packing.

In May 2005, Mr. Donovan tried to jury verdict a Pennsylvania statewide consumer class action against Kia Motors America, Inc., concerning the defective brake system on the 1997-2000 models of the Kia Sephia automobile. After a two-week trial, the jury returned a verdict of \$600 per class member, for an aggregate classwide verdict of \$5.4 million. Samuel-Bassett v. Kia Motors America, Inc., 2006 WL 3949458 (Pa. Com. Pl. Dec. 28, 2006)(denying post-trial motions of defendant). In October 2007, the Pennsylvania Superior Court affirmed the judgment, and in February 2008 it affirmed the award of \$4.4 million in attorney fees and expenses.

In September and October 2006, Mr. Donovan tried to jury verdict a Pennsylvania statewide employee class action against Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., concerning Wal-Mart's failure to pay its hourly employees for missed breaks and off-the-clock work. After a six-week trial, the jury returned a verdict for the Class in the amount of \$78.4 million. In October 2007, the Court awarded an additional \$62 million in liquidated damages under the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law, \$10.2 million in prejudgment interest and approximately \$46 million in attorney fees and costs. See Braun v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 2005 WL 3623389 at *5 - *7 (Pa. Com. Pl. Dec. 27, 2005)(opinion certifying class).

In May and June 2008, Mr. Donovan tried to jury verdict a New Jersey statewide class action against Kia Motors America, Inc., concerning the defective brake system on the 1997-2000 models of the Kia Sephia automobile. After a five-week trial, the jury returned a verdict of \$750 per class member, for an aggregate classwide verdict of approximately \$6.0 million. Little v. Kia Motors America, Inc., No. UNN-L-0800-01006 (N.J. Super. (Union Cty.) (verdict entered June 6, 2008).

Mr. Donovan has appeared as a panel speaker at the American Bar Association's National Class Action Institute, the Pennsylvania Bar Institute's Banking Law Update, the Practicing Law Institute's Financial Services Litigation Forum, the Consumer Credit Regulation Forum of the New Jersey Bar Association, and the National Consumer Rights Litigation Conference sponsored by the National Consumer Law Center. Mr. Donovan is a member of the American Bar Association (Litigation and Business Law Sections), the Pennsylvania Bar Association, the New York Bar Association, and the District of Columbia Bar Association. He is the Chair of the Consumer Law Subcommittee of the ABA Litigation Section's Class Actions and Derivative Suits Committee. He is also the former Vice Chair of the National Association of Consumer Advocates and an active member of Public Justice.

DAVID A. SEARLES, a founding member of the firm, is admitted to practice before the Supreme Court of the United States, the United States Courts of Appeals for the Third, Fourth and Sixth Circuits, and the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, as well as the state courts of Pennsylvania. He is a graduate of the American University School of Law, Washington, D.C., where he served on law review. Following graduation from law school, Mr. Searles was an attorney for Community Legal Services of Philadelphia, where he specialized in consumer and bankruptcy law. In 1990, he successfully argued the first consumer reorganization bankruptcy case considered by the U.S. Supreme Court, Pennsylvania v. Davenport, 495 U.S. 552 (1990), and has served as lead counsel and presented argument in numerous consumer law cases before the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, including Piper v. Portnoff Law Associates, Ltd., 396 F.3d 227 (3d Cir. 2005); Colbert v. Dymacol, Inc., 344 F.3d 334 (3d Cir. 2003); In re Colon, 941 F.2d 242 (3d Cir. 1991); Smith v. Fidelity Consumer Discount Company, 898 F.2d 896 (3d Cir. 1990); In re Szostek, 886 F. 2d 1405 (3d Cir. 1989); Whittaker v. Philadelphia Electric Company, 882 F.2d 791 (3d Cir. 1989); Watts v. Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, 876 F.2d 1090 (3d Cir. 1989); Crossley v. Lieberman, 868 F. 2d 566 (3d Cir. 1989); Abele v. Mid-Penn Consumer Discount Company, 77 B.R. 460 (E.D. Pa. 1987), aff'd 845 F.2d 1009 (3d Cir. 1988); Washington v. Heckler, 756 F.2d 959 (3d Cir. 1985). From 1992 through 1997, Mr. Searles was associated with the Philadelphia law firm of Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP, where his practice focused on Chapter 11 bankruptcy and creditor's rights.

In September 2007, Mr. Searles co-counseled a bench trial to verdict in a Pennsylvania statewide consumer class action against a real estate tax collection law firm and its owner for their improper collection of attorneys' fees, charges and interest. After a ten day trial, the court returned a verdict for the class in the amount of \$5.2 million. Roethlein v. Portnoff Law Associates and Michelle R. Portnoff, Esq., Nov. Term 2002, No. 3888 (C.P. Phila. March 11, 2008).

In June 2005, Mr. Searles was awarded the Equal Justice Award at the Community Legal Services Breakfast of Champions for his role in directing funding for legal assistance for low-income residents of Philadelphia.

Mr. Searles is the Pennsylvania contributor to Survey of State Class Action Law (ABA Section on Litigation) 2010, and is a contributing author of Pennsylvania Consumer Law (2010). Along with Mr. Donovan, he co-authored Preserving Judicial Recourse for Consumers: How to Combat Overreaching Arbitration Clauses, 10 LOYOLA CONSUMER L. REV. 269 (1998). He is the author

of "Tips In Handling Individual Bankruptcy Cases," Pennsylvania Bar Association Quarterly, January 1997. He has taught advanced bankruptcy law at Rutgers University School of Law - Camden, business law at Widener University and bankruptcy law at Pierce Junior College, Philadelphia. He is a past co-chairperson of the Education Committee of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania Bankruptcy Conference.

Among other cases, Mr. Searles has been approved as class counsel in the following recent class actions: *Reibstein v. Rite Aid Corporation*, 2011 WL 192512 (E.D. Pa. Jan. 18, 2011); *McCall v. Drive Financial*, January Term 2006, No. 0005 (C.P. Phila. July 20, 2010); *Serrano v. Sterling Testing Systems, Inc.*, 2010 WL 1924477 (E.D. Pa. May 7, 2010); *Summerfield v. Equifax Information Services, LLC*, 2009 WL 3234191 (D.N.J. Sept. 30, 2009); *Chakejian v Equifax Information Services, LLC*, 256 F.R.D. 492 (E.D. Pa. 2009); *Barel v. Bank of America*, 255 F.R.D. 393 (E.D. Pa. 2009); *Markocki v. Old Republic National Title Ins. Co.*, 254 F.R.D. 242 (E.D. Pa. 2008); *Strausser v. ACB Receivables Management, Inc.*, 2008 WL 859224 (E.D. Pa. Mar. 28, 2008); *Allen v. Holiday Universal, Inc.*, 249 F.R.D. 166 (E.D. Pa. 2008); *Cohen v. Chicago Title Insurance Company*, 242 F.R.D. 295 (E.D. Pa. 2007); *Jordan v. Commonwealth Financial Systems, Inc.*, 237 F.R.D. 132 (E.D. Pa. 2006); *Braun v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, 2005 WL 3623389 (Pa.Com.Pl. Dec. 27, 2005); *Perry v. FleetBoston Financial Corp.*, 229 F.R.D. 105 (E.D. Pa. 2005); *Beck v. Maximus, Inc.*, 2005 WL 589749 (E.D. Pa. March 11, 2005); *Stoner v. CBA Information Services*, 352 F.Supp.2d 549 (E.D. Pa. 2005); *Orloff v. Syndicated Office Systems, Inc.*, 2004 WL 870691 (E.D. Pa. April 22, 2004); *Petrolito v. Arrow Financial Services, LLC*, 221 F.R.D. 303 (D. Conn. 2004); *Piper v. Portnoff Law Associates, Ltd.*, 216 F.R.D. 325 (E.D. Pa. 2003); *Bonett v. Education Debt Services, Inc.*, 2003 WL 21658267 (E.D. Pa. 2003).